
From: Mellow5n2@aol.com
To: Mellow5n2@aol.com; Zia, Humane
CC: dcs@damascuscitizens.org
Sent: 7/30/2013 9:05:07 PM
Subject: Re: Los Angeles Times Dimock Article

Looking at the LA Times Article again, this quotes:

"Fred Baldassare, a former official at the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection who worked on the state's Dimock studies, disputed the presentation's assertion that some wells contained Marcellus methane. Now a consultant for industry and homeowners, Baldassare said there was not enough information about the composition of the methane in the wells to draw conclusions about the origin. "It's dangerous and inappropriate to interpret this data in a vacuum," he said."

It does seem that Fred Baldassare (PADEP employee or industrial consultant) disputed the power point presentation. This seems to indicate that Baldassare did have access to this report at some point in time. This seems to indicate he has had privileged information not available to other Pennsylvania consultants or he really did not review the presentation. Which is it? Also would like copy of this although this may be covered under the first FOIA?

In a message dated 7/28/2013 10:20:54 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time, Mellow5n2@aol.com writes:
Is this one of the Dimock Residential Groundwater reports that I have submitted two Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests for? Did Baldassare submit a FOIA to get this report or presentation? Again, thanks for your assistance.

<http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/la-na-epa-dimock-20130728,0,4847442.story>